

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

				V
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,831	08/19/2003	Christopher R. McMurray	R087 1270.1	5159
26158 73	590 03/14/2005		EXAMINER	
WOMBLE CARLYLE SANDRIDGE & RICE, PLLC P.O. BOX 7037			NGUYEN, TRINH T	
	A 30357-0037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3644	
		DATE MAILED: 03/14/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summer	10/643,831	MCMURRAY ET AL.			
→ Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Trinh T Nguyen	3644			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Fee This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims			5		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6-23 and 25-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6-23,25-31 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
	epted or b) objected to by the darwing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 3644

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination under 37 CFR 1.114 After Final Rejection

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/14/04 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 4, 7-9, 11, 12, 14, 20, 21, and 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gualandi (US 6,481,356).

For claim 1, Gualandi discloses a sabot (8, 15, 12, 5, 2, 1, 3, 4, 6, 9), comprising: a compression section (15) defining a payload receiving chamber at a forward end of the sabot for receiving a slug (7) therein, the compression section including a plurality to fins defined by a combination of alternating internal and external ridges (see attached Figure 1 at the end of this Office Action); and a solid section wherein the compression

Art Unit: 3644

section is adapted to at least partially collapse upon firing to produce a volume change (see lines 35-50 of col. 4) (12, 5, 2, 1, Note that *The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language, Third Edition* copyright © 1992 by Houghton Mifflin Company (Electronic version licensed from INSO Corporation) defines the term "solid" as "of definite shape and volume" or "firm or compact in substance" or "being the same substance or color throughout". Therefore, it is believe that Gualandi's portions 12, 5, 2, and 1 can be considered as a solid section) extending rearwardly from the compression section (see Figure 1).

For claim 3, Gualandi further discloses the payload receiving chamber further includes a post (8, 9).

For claim 4, Gualandi further discloses the solid section includes a powder cup section formed opposite the compression section.

For claim 5, Gualandi further discloses the compression section comprises a plurality of interconnected collapsible fins (15, 10B, 10A).

For claim 7, Gualandi further discloses the sabot is axisymmetric.

For claim 8, Gualandi further discloses a firearm round, comprising: a sabot (8, 15, 12, 5, 2, 1, 3, 4, 6, 9) including a compression section (15) defining a payload receiving chamber therein and a solid section wherein the compression section is adapted to at least partially collapse upon firing to produce a volume change (see lines 35-50 of col. 4) (12, 5, 2, 1, Note that *The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language, Third Edition* copyright © 1992 by Houghton Mifflin Company (Electronic version licensed from INSO Corporation) defines the term "solid" as "of definite shape

Art Unit: 3644

and volume" or "firm or compact in substance" or "being the same substance or color throughout". Therefore, it is believe that Gualandi's portions 12, 5, 2, and 1 can be considered as a solid section) connected to the compression section; and a slug (7) received and fitted within the payload receiving chamber of the sabot (see Figure 1). Further note that the compression section including a plurality to fins defined by a combination of alternating internal and external ridges (see attached Figure 1 at the end of this Office Action).

For claim 9, Gualandi further discloses the slug (7) comprises a nose, a driving band adjacent the nose and a stem connected to the driving band (see Figure 3).

For claim 11, Gualandi further discloses the stem of the slug includes a post cavity (the areas where posts 8 and 9 are located).

For claim 12, Gualandi further discloses the payload receiving chamber of the sabot includes a post (8, 9) fitted within the post cavity of the slug (the areas where posts 8 and 9 are located).

For claim 14, Gualandi further discloses the stem has a diameter less than a diameter of the driving band (see Figure 3).

For claim 20, Gualandi further discloses the solid section of the sabot includes a powder cup (see Figure 1).

For claim 21, Gualandi further discloses the compression section of the sabot comprises a plurality of interconnected collapsible fins (15, 10B, 10A).

For claim 27, Gualandi further discloses a firearm round, comprising: a sabot (8, 15, 12, 5, 2, 1, 3, 4, 6, 9) including a compression section (15) defining a payload

1 at the end of this Office Action).

Art Unit: 3644

receiving chamber therein, a post (8, 9) integrally formed within the payload receiving chamber and a solid section (12, 5, 2, 1, Note that *The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language, Third Edition* copyright © 1992 by Houghton Mifflin Company (Electronic version licensed from INSO Corporation) defines the term "solid" as "of definite shape and volume" or "firm or compact in substance" or "being the same substance or color throughout". Therefore, it is believe that Gualandi's portions 12, 5, 2, and 1 can be considered as a solid section) projecting rearwardly from the compression section; and a slug (7) fitted to the post of the sabot wherein the compression section is adapted to at least partially collapse upon firing to produce a volume change (see lines 35-50 of col. 4). Further note that the compression section including a plurality to fins defined by a combination of alternating internal and external ridges (see attached Figure

For claim 28, Gualandi further discloses the slug comprises a stem defining a chamber adapted to receive the post of the sabot.

For claim 29, Gualandi further discloses the slug projects forwardly from the compression section of the sabot.

For claim 30, Gualandi further discloses the post substantially fills the payload receiving chamber.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to

Art Unit: 3644

a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gualandi (US 6,481,356).

Gualandi is silent about having the driving band includes a length less than about 25% of the overall diameter of the firearm round. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the driving band includes a length less than about 25% of the overall diameter of the firearm round, since it has been held where routine testing and general experimental conditions are present, discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). Furthermore, since applicant did not provide a reason or an advantage as to why the driving band has to be in a length less than about 25% of the overall diameter of the firearm round, it is believe that through trial and error in manufacturing procedure that one comes up with this value to meet the require design criteria for manufacturing of a firearm round.

6. Claims 2, 19, 22, 23, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gualandi (US 6,481,356) in view of Hoffman (US 4,939,997).

For claims 2, 19, and 22, as described above, Gualandi discloses most of the claimed invention except for indicating that the compression section further includes a locking ring mounted within the payload receiving chamber for engaging the slug.

Hoffman teaches a similar firearm round as that of Gualandi in which Hoffman's firearm round having a projectile/slug (6) mounted within a sabot (1) wherein the sabot further comprises the use of a locking ring (7) so as to engage the projectile/slug therein

Art Unit: 3644

(see Figure 3). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the firearm round of Gualandi so as to include the use of a locking ring for the engagement of the projectile/slug within the sabot, in a similar manner as taught in Hoffman, since to do so would provide the locking ring as a securing device under centrifugal force and in response to the spin acting on the projectile/slug the locking ring will expand to facilitate the projectile/slug exiting from the sabot.

For claim 23, Gualandi as modified by Hoffman (emphasis on Gualandi) further discloses the solid section (12, 5, 2, 1, Note that *The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language, Third Edition* copyright © 1992 by Houghton Mifflin Company (Electronic version licensed from INSO Corporation) defines the term "solid" as "of definite shape and volume" or "firm or compact in substance" or "being the same substance or color throughout". Therefore, it is believe that Gualandi's portions 12, 5, 2, and 1 can be considered as a solid section) includes a powder cup section (see Figure 1).

For claim 26, Gualandi as modified by Hoffman (emphasis on Gualandi) further discloses a projectile (7) received within the payload receiving chamber and extending forwardly from the compression section.

7. Claims 6, 10, 15, 16, 18, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gualandi (US 6,481,356) in view of Dippold et al. (US 5,263,418).

For claims 6 and 18, as described above, Gualandi discloses most of the claimed invention except for indicating that the sabot comprises a high density polyethylene.

Art Unit: 3644

However, Dippold et al. teaches a similar firearm round as that of Gualandi in which Dippold et al.'s firearm round having a sabot (12) made out of polyethylene (see lines 39-41 of col. 2). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the firearm round of Gualandi so as to include the use of a polyethylene sabot, in a similar manner as taught in Dippold et al., since using polyethylene sabot would allow the sabot to be compressed when the slug is loaded into the barrel/round and thus provide a snug fit without undesired bulging.

For claim 10, as described above, Gualandi discloses most of the claimed invention except for indicating that the nose of the slug includes a nose cavity. However, Dippold et al. teaches a similar firearm round as that of Gualandi in which Dippold et al.'s firearm round having a slug (10) includes a nose cavity/recess (26) thereon. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the firearm round of Gualandi so as to include a nose cavity/recess in the slug, in a similar manner as taught in Dippold et al., since to do so would allow the forming of spaced petals which curl outwardly and rearwardly; thus, increasing the outer diameter of the slug so that it enhances the cutting and tearing action of the slug as it passes through the target.

For claims 15 and 31, as described above, Gualandi discloses most of the claimed invention except for indicating that the slug comprises at least about 95% by weight lead. However, Dippold et al. teaches a similar firearm round as that of Gualandi in which Dippold et al.'s firearm round having a slug includes at least about 95% by weight lead (see lines 30-33 of col. 2). It would have been obvious to one having

Art Unit: 3644

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the firearm round of Gualandi so as to include a slug having at least about 95% by weight lead, in a similar manner as taught in Dippold et al., since using lead slug (note that lead is a dense and/or heavy metallic element which has high specific gravity) would increase the penetration potential to a target and thus provide more damage to the target.

For claim 16, as described above, Gualandi discloses most of the claimed invention except for indicating that the slug comprises antimony. However, Dippold et al. teaches a similar firearm round as that of Gualandi in which Dippold et al.'s firearm round having a slug comprises antimony (see lines 30-33 of col. 2). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the firearm round of Gualandi so as to include a slug made out of antimony, in a similar manner as taught in Dippold et al., since using antimony in combination with lead in slug would greatly increase the mechanical strength and hardness of lead and thus would produce a hard and strong slug which would cause more damage to the target.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 8. Gualandi (US 6,481,356) in view of Stevens (US 5,361,701).

As described above, Gualandi discloses most of the claimed invention except for indicating that the slug is plated or jacketed.

Stevens teaches a similar firearm round as that of Gualandi in which Stevens' firearm round having a plated or jacketed slug (137 and 237 in Figures 3 & 4). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

Art Unit: 3644

made to have modified the firearm round of Gualandi so as to include the use of a plated or jacketed slug, in a similar manner as taught in Stevens, since to do so would serve to prevent the lead slug from rubbing onto and clogging the rifling and to maintain the integrity in the shape of the slug.

9. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gualandi (US 6,481,356) in view of Hoffman (US 4,939,997), and further in view of Dippold et al. (US 5,263,418).

Gualandi as modified by Hoffman discloses most of the claimed invention except for indicating that the sabot comprises a high-density polyethylene, low-density polyethylene, linear, low-density polyethylene, and combinations thereof.

Dippold et al. teaches a similar firearm round as that of Gualandi as modified by Hoffman in which Dippold et al.'s firearm round having a sabot (12) made out of polyethylene (see lines 39-41 of col. 2). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the firearm round of Gualandi as modified by Hoffman so as to include the use of a polyethylene sabot, in a similar manner as taught in Dippold et al., since using polyethylene sabot would allow the sabot to be compressed when the slug is loaded into the barrel/round and thus provide a snug fit without undesired bulging.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed 2/14/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Page 11

Application/Control Number: 10/643,831

Art Unit: 3644

11. Applicant argues that the prior arts fails to disclose the compression section

including a plurality to fins defined by a combination of alternating internal and external

ridges. The Examiner disagreed. Please referred to paragraph numbers 3-9 above for

further explanation.

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Trinh T Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 306-

9082. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30 A.M to 6:00 P.M).

The examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on (703) 305-7421. The fax

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Trinh T Ngayen

Patent Ex.

Art Unit 3644

3/2/05



